IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-00829-K
	§	
SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

APPENDIX TO DEFENDANT SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

Defendant Securus Technologies, Inc. files this Appendix to Defendant Securus Technologies, Inc.'s Claim Construction Brief.

Description	Appendix Number
Declaration of Joseph C. McAlexander III	1-11
Attachment A – CV of Joseph C. McAlexander III	12-38
Newton's Telecom Dictionary 23 rd Edition	39-42
Newton's Telecom Dictionary 17 th Edition	43-46

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Richard A. Sayles

Richard A. Sayles (Lead Counsel) Texas State Bar No. 17697500 dsayles@swtriallaw.com E. Sawyer Neely Texas State Bar No. 24041574 sneely@swtriallaw.com Darren P. Nicholson Texas State Bar No. 24032789 dnicholson@swtriallaw.com Mark D. Strachan Texas State Bar No. 19351500 mstrachan@swtriallaw.com SAYLES | WERBNER, P.C. 1201 Elm Street, Suite 4400 Dallas, Texas 75270 (214) 939-8700 – Telephone (214) 939-8787 – Facsimile

G. Michael Gruber
State Bar No. 08555400
mgruber@ghetrial.com
Anthony J. Magee
State Bar No. 00786081
amagee@ghetrial.com
Robert E. Weitzel
State Bar No. 24070823
rweitzel@ghetrial.com
GRUBER HURST ELROD JOHANSEN HAIL
SHANK LLP

1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 2500 Dallas, Texas 75202 Telephone: 214-855-6800 Fax: 214-855-6808

Attorneys for Defendant Securus Technologies, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on July 20, 2015, Defendant electronically filed the foregoing
document with the Clerk of the Court, using the CM/ECF system, which will send certification
of such filing to all counsel.

/s/ Anthony J. Magee	
Anthony J. Magee	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

SECURUS TECHNOL

DECLARATION OF JOSEPH C. McALEXANDER III IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT'S SUPPLEMENTAL CLAIM CONSTRUCTION BRIEF

- I, Joseph C. McAlexander III, hereby declare and state under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, as follows:
- 1. I am the President of M^cAlexander Sound, Inc., located at 101 W. Renner Rd., Suite 350, Richardson, TX 75082. I am over eighteen years of age and I would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein if I am called upon to do so.
- 2. I have been retained by counsel for the Defendant Securus Technologies, Inc. ("Securus") to prepare this declaration in support of Securus' Supplemental Claim Construction Brief.
- 3. I am compensated by Securus at the rate of \$495.00 per hour. Neither my company nor I derive any compensation contingent upon the outcome in this case.

M^cAlexander Declaration in Support of Securus' Supplemental Claim Construction Brief

- 4. In preparing this declaration, I have reviewed, among other things, the following documents:
 - a. Judge Kinkeade's Order dated July 9, 2015 (Doc. 145);
 - b. Second Revised Joint Claim Construction Chart (Doc. 106);
 - c. GTL's Opening Claim Construction Brief (Doc. 88);
 - d. Securus' Opening Claim Construction Brief (Doc. 90);
 - e. GTL's Responsive Claim Construction Brief (Doc. 94);
 - f. Securus' Responsive Claim Construction Brief (Doc. 96;
 - g. U.S. Patent No. 7,783,021 (the "'021 patent") and its corresponding prosecution file history; and
 - h. Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC, Case No. 2013-1130, 2015 WL 3687459 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015).

1 **QUALIFICATIONS**

- 5. I am a technical expert in the subject matter areas relevant to this litigation, including electronic transfer and comparison of data and other information that requires control, security, and tracking. In forming my opinions, I rely on my knowledge and experience in the fields relevant to this litigation. I further rely on documents and information referenced in this declaration. I am qualified to reach the opinions and conclusions stated in this declaration.
- 6. I am a Registered Professional Engineer (#79454) in the State of Texas and hold a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from North Carolina State University. I have been associated with the integrated circuit and electronics industry as a designer and consultant for the past 42 years and am a named inventor on 31 U.S. patents and a number of foreign patents.

M^cAlexander Declaration in Support of Securus' Supplemental Claim Construction Brief

- 7. My skills and experience are in areas of circuit design and analysis, device fabrication and assembly, testing, marketing, control system design and analysis, manufacturing operations, software development, management, and respective areas of quality, reliability, and defect/failure analysis. Specifically, I have:
 - designed memories, including Dynamic Random Access Memories (DRAMs), Static Random Access Memories (SRAMs), Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs), Shift Registers (SRs), and functional circuits including I/O buffers for address and data, decoders, clocks, sense amplifiers, fault tolerant (incorporating both non-volatile EPROM and random access memory components), parallel-to-serial data paths for video applications, level shifters, converters, pumps, and logic, as well as wireless communication systems and MEMs;
 - managed operations including engineering, training, and quality assurance for device fabrication, assembly, test, analysis, and reliability assessment, as well as manufacturing control, each of which involved both volatile and non-volatile memory; testing, analysis, and control involved use of mechanical calibration and measuring equipment, including optical, scanning e-beam, IR, capacitive, and laser using phase contrast and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) for High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) applications;
 - taught courses in solid-state device physics, integrated circuit design, integrated circuit fabrication, and statistical control;
 - provided expert services, investigating both process and design technologies of various devices (microprocessor and controller, volatile and non-volatile memory, programmable logic, card, tag, module, mixed signal, custom, and other), systems (PC and peripheral, computer, control, laser measurement, switch, architecture, software, and other), and consumer products (medical, TV, telephone, VCR, facsimile, copier, lighting, game, vehicle, and other); and
 - designed and managed development, testing, and evaluation of memory devices and systems incorporating such devices, including simulation of operation. I have also had experience in programming, erasing, and wearout of electrically programmable and erasable non-volatile memories used for program and data storage.

8. Additionally, I have evaluated and provided expert services related to communication and control systems including communication protocol, data transport, query and reporting operations and methods. A more detailed account of my work experience and other qualifications is listed in my Curriculum Vitae attached as Error! Reference source not found to this declaration.

2 OPINIONS & CONCLUSIONS

- 9. In preparing this declaration, I reviewed and considered documents and materials, as described above, and relied on my education, experience, and knowledge of the industry as well as my understanding of the applicable legal principles, as explained to me by Securus' attorneys. My opinions and conclusions are based in part on study of those documents, materials, and instructions, and findings resulting from that study.
- 10. Based on my professional experience, I am familiar with the patent system and the process of applying for and obtaining patents and with the judicial process for construing disputed patent claims and determining whether a patent claim is written in such a way as to invoke the application of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6. I have been informed of these legal standards by Securus' attorneys. I am not an attorney, and am relying on instructions from Securus' attorneys for these legal standards. I have experience applying these standards, as reflected in my CV found in **Attachment A** and have written this declaration with these understandings, as detailed below.
- 11. I understand that, in order to receive a valid patent, an inventor must invent or discover a new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter.
- 12. I understand that patent protection may be granted for any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof.

Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art

- 13. I understand that factors such as 1) the education level of those working in the field, including the inventor, 2) the sophistication of the technology, 3) the types of problems encountered in the art, 4) the prior art solutions to those problems, and 5) the speed at which innovations are made may help establish the level of skill in the art.
- 14. I understand that, as of the date of the declaration, the District Court in this matter has not defined the person of ordinary skill in the art.

Legal Standard for Claim Construction

- 15. The claims of a patent define the invention. The purpose of claim construction is to understand how one skilled in the art would have understood the claim terms at the time of the invention.
- 16. I have been instructed by counsel on the law regarding claim construction and patent claims and understand that a patent may include two types of claims, independent claims and dependent claims. An independent claim stands alone and includes only the limitations it recites. A dependent claim can depend from an independent claim or another dependent claim. I understand that a dependent claim includes all the limitations that it recites in addition to all of the limitations recited in the claim(s) from which it depends.
- 17. I have been instructed by counsel that claim construction is a matter of law for the court to decide. Claim terms should be given their ordinary and customary meaning within the context of the patent in which the terms are used, *i.e.*, the meaning that the term would have to a person of ordinary skill in the art in question at the time of the invention in light of what the patent teaches.

- 18. I understand that, to determine how a person of ordinary skill would understand a claim term, one should look to those sources available that show what a person of skill in the art would have understood disputed claim language to mean. Such sources include the words of the claims themselves, the remainder of the patent's specification, the prosecution history of the patent (all considered "intrinsic" evidence), and "extrinsic" evidence concerning relevant scientific principles, the meaning of technical terms, and the state of the art.
- 19. I understand that, in construing a claim term, one looks primarily to the intrinsic patent evidence, including the words of the claims themselves, the remainder of the patent specification, and the prosecution history. I understand that extrinsic evidence, which is evidence external to the patent and the prosecution history, may also be useful in interpreting patent claims when the intrinsic evidence itself is insufficient.
- 20. I understand that words or terms should be given their ordinary and accepted meaning unless it appears that the inventors were using them to mean something else. In making this determination, however, of paramount importance are the claims, the patent specification, and the prosecution history. Additionally, the specification and prosecution history must be consulted to confirm whether the patentee has acted as its own lexicographer (*i.e.*, provided its own special meaning to any disputed terms), or intentionally disclaimed, disavowed, or surrendered any claim scope.
- 21. The claims of a patent define the scope of the rights conferred by the patent. The claims particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the patentee regards as his/her invention. Because the patentee is required to define precisely what he/she claims his invention(s) is (are), it is improper to construe claims in a manner different from the plain import

of the terms used consistent with the specification. Accordingly, a claim construction analysis must begin and remain centered on the claim language itself.

- 22. Additionally, the context in which a term is used in the asserted claim can be highly instructive. Likewise, other claims of the patent in question, both asserted and not asserted, can inform the meaning of a claim term. For example, because claim terms are normally used consistently throughout the patent, the usage of a term in one claim can often illuminate the meaning of the same term in other claims. Differences among claims can also be a useful guide in understanding the meaning of particular claim terms.
- 23. I understand that a person of ordinary skill in the art is deemed to read a claim term not only in the context of the particular claim in which the disputed term appears, but in the context of the entire patent, including the specification. For this reason, the words of the claim must be interpreted in view of the entire specification. The specification is the primary basis for construing the claims and provides a safeguard such that correct constructions closely align with the specification. Ultimately, the interpretation to be given a term can only be determined and confirmed with a full understanding of what the inventors actually invented and intended to envelop with the claim as set forth in the patent itself.
- 24. The role of the specification is to describe and enable the invention. In turn, the claims cannot be of broader scope than the invention that is set forth in the specification. Care must be taken lest word-by-word definition, removed from the context of the patent, leads to an overall result that departs significantly from the patented invention.
- 25. I understand that claim terms must be construed in a manner consistent with the context of the intrinsic record. In addition to consulting the specification, one should also consider

the patent's prosecution history, if available. The prosecution file history provides evidence of how both the Patent Office and the inventors understood the terms of the patent, particularly in light of what was known in the prior art. Further, where the specification describes a claim term broadly, arguments and amendments made during prosecution may require a more narrow interpretation.

- 26. I understand that while intrinsic evidence is of primary importance, extrinsic evidence, *e.g.*, all evidence external to the patent and prosecution history, including expert and inventor testimony, dictionaries, and learned treatises, can also be considered. For example, technical dictionaries may help one better understand the underlying technology and the way in which one of skill in the art might use the claim terms. Extrinsic evidence should not be considered, however, divorced from the context of the intrinsic evidence. Evidence beyond the patent specification, prosecution history, and other claims in the patent should not be relied upon unless the claim language is ambiguous in light of these intrinsic sources. Furthermore, while extrinsic evidence can shed useful light on the relevant art, it is less significant than the intrinsic record in determining the legally operative meaning of claim language.
- 27. I understand that there are special rules of construction for claim elements recited in means-plus-function format. These elements are limited to means that perform the identical function as recited in the element. Moreover, means-plus-function elements are limited to the necessary structures disclosed in the specification, and any equivalents, that correspond to the recited function. I further understand from counsel that the corresponding structure in the specification is "corresponding structure" only if the specification clearly links or associates that structure to the claimed function.

- 28. I understand that means-plus-function elements are not unbounded and cannot correspond to all means that perform the recited function. I further understand that, when a patent specification fails to link a particular structure to the performance of the function recited in a means-plus-function element, the means element is unbounded by structure and, therefore, is invalid for indefiniteness because the claim does not satisfy the statutory requirements that it be limited to specific structure.
- 29. I understand the Federal Circuit has recently overruled prior precedent concerning standards relating to the existence, strength, and rebuttal of presumptions as to the applicability of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 that result from the use or non-use of the term "means" in a disputed claim term. I understand that the Federal Circuit in *Williamson v. Citrix Online, LLC*, Case No. 2013-1130, 2015 WL 3687459 (Fed. Cir. Jun. 16, 2015), overruled prior precedent that held that failure to use the term "means" in a claim term created a strong presumption that 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 did not apply, and ruled instead that the applicable standard is whether the words of the claim are understood by persons of ordinary skill in the art to have a sufficiently definite meaning as the name for structure, and that the presumption against application of 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 is overcome when the claim term fails to recite sufficiently definite structure or else recites function without reciting sufficient structure for performing that function. I also understand that the Federal Circuit held in the *Williamson* decision that use of the word "means" creates a presumption that 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 6 applies and that in determining whether the presumption has been rebutted, the focus remains on whether the claim as properly construed recites sufficiently definite structure.

Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art

30. In my opinion, a person of ordinary skill in the art pertinent to the '021 patent would have a bachelor's degree in electrical engineering, or the equivalent education, and from 3-5 years of technical experience in component design or integration of components into systems, or the equivalent work experience or knowledge of component design in general. Advanced education in electrical engineering might substitute for some of the experience, while extensive experience in design of components might substitute for some of the educational requirements.

"Routing Means"

31. In my opinion, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have understood the term "routing means," as used in claim 1 of the '021 patent, to recite sufficiently definite structure for the recited routing function. In fact, claim 1 does not recite any structure for the routing means. The term "routing means" is not a term of art and does not connote a definite structure any more than the term "means for routing" connotes a definite structure. While a person of ordinary skill in the art would have been able to devise some structure that could perform the recited routing function – such as a hub, a gateway, or a router – the claim itself, even construed in light of the specification (which uses the terms "routing means" and "router" at various times and refers to a gateway comprising "routing means"), does not inform the person of ordinary skill in the art what structure, if any, is claimed in claim 1 of the '021 patent.

"Central Platform," "Apparatuses" For Processing Said Telephone Call

M^cAlexander Declaration in Support of Securus' Supplemental Claim Construction Brief

32. In my opinion, a person of ordinary skill in the art would not have understood the terms "central platform," as used in claims 1, 7, and 16 of the '021 patent, "central platform comprises one or more apparatuses," as used in claim 1 of the '021 patent, or "central platform

10

includes one or more apparatuses," as used in claim 7 of the '021 patent, to recite sufficiently definite structure for the recited function of "processing said telephone call." To the person of ordinary skill in the art, a "platform" or an "apparatus" could be a multitude of tangible or intangible things comprising hardware, software, or a combination of the two. However, the claim language itself, construed in light of the specification, does not provide the person of ordinary skill in the art with any, let alone a definite, description of structure for performing the recited function of processing said telephone call.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing testimony is true and correct.

Dated: July 20, 2015

Joseph C. MS Alexander III

PROFESSIONAL SUMMARY

Currently a Registered Professional Engineer (#79454) and recognized as an inventor on 31 US and a number of foreign patents, I am President of Malexander Sound, Inc., and the Managing Director of Malexander Sound Pte Ltd. I have focused my expertise to support a number of clients in product, process, and operations analysis and investigation. Thirty-nine years of experience in microcircuit and semiconductor technologies has developed my skills in areas of circuit design and analysis, device fabrication and assembly, testing, marketing, control system design and analysis, manufacturing operations and respective areas of quality, reliability, and defect / failure analysis. I am, among others, a Manager with QM Partners, LP, supporting clients in IP management, and the President and CEO of MDFHoldings, Inc., an IP holding company currently engaged in the field of GPS Tracking. I have:

- designed Dynamic Random Access Memories (DRAMs), Static Random Access Memories (SRAMs), Charge Coupled Devices (CCDs), Shift Registers (SRs), and functional circuits including I/O buffers for address and data, decoders, clocks, sense amplifiers, fault tolerant, parallel-to-serial data paths for video applications, level shifters, converters, pumps, and logic, as well as wireless communication systems and MEMs applications;
- managed operations including engineering, software programming, training, and quality assurance for device fabrication, assembly, test, analysis, and reliability assessment, as well as manufacturing control (testing, analysis, and control involved use of mechanical calibration and measuring equipment, including optical, scanning e-beam, IR, capacitive, and laser using phase contrast and FFT for HARI applications); managed software program development departments for assembly manufacturing, process control, and testing;
- taught courses in solid state device physics, integrated circuit design, integrated circuit fabrication, and statistical control;
- provided expert services, investigating both process and design technologies of various devices (microprocessor and controller, memory, programmable logic, card, tag, module, mixed signal, custom, and other), systems (PC and peripheral, computer, control, laser measurement, switch, architecture, software, and other), and consumer products (medical, TV, telephone, VCR, facsimile, copier, lighting, game, and other); and
- provided nuclear radiation hardness testing services for military and space clients.

From 1986-1990, I was Executive Vice President of EPI Technologies, Inc., prior to joining the staff at Cochran Consulting, Inc. where I served as senior managing consultant from 1991-2002. From 1972 to 1986, I was employed by Texas Instruments Incorporated - two years as the Quality and Reliability Manager for the 256K DRAM wafer fabrication facility, three years as the Engineering/QRA Manager for the TI Singapore test and assembly operation, and nine years in semiconductor design and product engineering management functions.

Joseph C. MEAlexander III

EXPERIENCE PROFILE

2006-present

QM Partners, L.P. - Texas

Manager

o Management of development, licensing, prosecution and

exploitation of intellectual property.

2006-present

Guardian Technologies, LLC – Texas

Manager

o IP holding and licensing company.

2006-present

Appropriate Holdings, LLC - Delaware

Manager

o IP holding company.

2005-present

McAlexander Sound Pte Ltd - Singapore

Managing Director

o System, Product, and Process investigation, expert witness

services for protection of intellectual property;

o Patent portfolio development and valuation;

o Contract consultation.

2002-present

MDFHoldings, Inc. – Las Vegas, NV

CEO

o IP holding and licensing company.

1996-2010

RMC Management, LLP - Plano, TX

<u>Partner</u>

o Asset management.

Joseph C. McAlexander III

EXPERIENCE PROFILE (continued)

1988-present

McAlexander Sound, Inc. (MEASI) - Plano, TX President

- o System, Product, and Process investigation, expert witness services for protection of intellectual property;
- o Patent portfolio development and valuation;
- o Product liability and insurance claim investigation, expert witness services for matters involving such claims;
- o Quality Systems consulting and engineering;
- o Radiation Hardness Testing Technical Representative;
- o Technical Advisor in High Aspect Ratio and Surface Contour Measurement using Direct-to-Digital Holography.

1991-2002

Cochran Consulting, Inc. (CCI) - Richardson, TX Managing Consultant

- o System, Product, and Process investigation, expert witness services for protection of intellectual property;
- o Design, process, and product reliability;
- o Defect and failure analysis.

1986-Nov'90

EPI Technologies, Inc. - Richardson, TX Executive Vice President and Company Officer

- o Managed Advanced Technology Div., QA, and Engineering, including software program development;
- o Developed strategic, space/energy market growth plans;
- o Negotiated the acquisition of a radiation company;
- o Designed and managed physical analysis, radiation effects, and environmental stress laboratories, including optical and e-beam measurement;

EXPERIENCE PROFILE (continued)

- o Achieved > 30% annual revenue growth and profitability for each laboratory the first 12 months;
- o Product and Process investigation services for protection of intellectual property.

1972 – 1986 Texas Instruments, Inc. - Dallas/Houston, TX; Singapore

- '84 '86 Quality/Reliability Assurance Manager, TI Dallas Advanced DRAM semiconductor wafer fabrication facility
 - o Developed/implemented on-line, computerized SPC software tools for dimensioning analysis and control and pattern recognition;
 - o Coordinated people development, design-of-experiments;
 - o Managed chemical and physical analysis laboratories;
 - o Implemented control systems to assure product, process, material, equipment, and facility compliance, including Cost of Quality analysis.
- '82 '84 <u>Quality/Reliability Assurance and Engineering Manager</u>, TI Singapore assembly/test facility
 - o Developed, implemented, and operated an effective Quality/Reliability Assurance program for assembly processing including optical pattern recognition for equipment registration;
 - o Supervised 225 people for 7 day/week operation, including QRA, Computer Systems software development, and Training;
 - o Trained engineers in Solid State Physics, device fabrication, and statistical process control.

EXPERIENCE PROFILE (continued)

'81 - '82 Engineering Operations Manager, TI Houston

- o Managed DRAM memory product cost center;
- o Responsible for division test software generation, product assembly and test quality / yield, cost reduction and quality improvement;
- o Provided technical customer interface for marketing;
- o Coordinated TI Singapore engineering test/assembly.

'79 - '81 Product Engineering Manager, TI Houston

- o Responsible for yield improvement, technical customer interface, quality improvement, design evaluation, and device characterization for DRAM and CCD products;
- o Developed device specifications and test software.

'72 - '79 <u>Design Section Manager / Engineer</u>, TI Houston

- o Responsible for design and development, process compatibility, production introduction of Dynamic Ram products;
- o Activities included electrical and physical layout, SPICE model simulation, test program generation, and product implementation for MOS Dynamic Ram products.

1969 - 1972 U. S. Army - Coventry, Rhode Island; Seoul, Korea Captain, Air Defense Artillery

- o Served one year as Communications Officer in Korea;
- o Served two years as Tactical Officer, New England Defense.

ORGANIZATIONS, PUBLICATIONS, EDUCATION

PROFESSIONAL ORGANIZATIONS AND AWARDS

- 1 Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc. (IEEE), Senior Member. Societies: Computer, Electron Devices, Solid State Circuits
- 2 Licensing Executives Society (LES)
- 3 National Society of Professional Engineers
- 4 Texas Board of Professional Engineers, Registered License #79454
- 5 Society of Flight Test Engineers
- 5 2000/2001 Nationwide Register's Who's Who in Executives and Businesses
- 6 1996/1997 Strathmore's Who's Who Registry of Business Leaders

PUBLICATIONS

- 1- NUS Proceedings of Engineering Convention '83, Aug '83, pgs. 139-142, The Memory Challenge.
- 2- <u>Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics</u>, Dec'81, Vol. 212, No. 2, Equilibrium Constants under Physiological Conditions for the Reactions of D-3-Phosphoglycerate Dehydrogenase and L-Phosphoserine Aminotransferase.
- 3- <u>International Electron Devices Meeting</u>, Dec '79, pgs. 355-357, Sub 100ns 16K x 1 MOS Dynamic RAM Using a Grounded Substrate.

EDUCATION PROFILE

1980 - 1985	Taught Solid State Device Physics, Semiconductor Processing, and Circuit Design Techniques
	Taught Statistical Quality Control methods
	Effectiveness Training and Japanese Manufacturing Techniques, Participative Problem Solving courses
1975 - 1976	1.5 years Graduate study in Neural Science, the University of Texas Graduate School of Biomedical Science
1965 - 1969	BSEE, North Carolina State University

Joseph C. M²Alexander III

CURRICULUM VITAE

	PATENTS (US-31 Foreign-8)
4,239,993	(1980) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Active Loads
4,280,070	(1981) Balanced Input Buffer Circuit for Semiconductor Memory
4,288,706	(1981) Noise Immunity in Input Buffer Circuit for Semiconductor Memory
4,370,575	(1983) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Active Loads
4,418,293	(1983) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Multiple Column Outputs
4,533,843	(1985) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Voltage Boost for Row Address Lines
4,543,500	(1985) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier Voltage Boost for Row Address Lines
4,543,501	(1985) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Dual Channel Grounding Transistor
4,748,349	(1988) High Performance Dynamic Sense Amplifier with Voltage Boost for Row Address Lines
6,172,640 B1	(2001) Pet Locator
6,236,358 B1	(2001) Mobile Object Locator
6,421,001 B1	(2002) Object Locator
6,441,778 B1	(2002) Pet Locator
6,480,147 B2	(2002) Portable Position Determining Device
6,518,919 B1	(2003) Mobile Object Locator
6,771,213 B2	(2004) Object Locator
59,171 B2	(2005) Mobile Object Locator

Joseph C. M²Alexander ^{III}

CURRICULUM VITAE

PATENTS (continued)	
7,113,126 B2	(2006) Portable Positioning Determining Device
7,179,674 B2	(2007) Bi-Directional Released-Beam Sensor
7,209,075 B2	(2007) Mobile Object Locator
7,324,044 B2	(2008) Object Locator
7,336,227 B2	(2008) Portable Position Determining Device
7,340,260 B2	(2008) System and Method for Tracking the Location of Multiple Mobile Radio Transceiver Units
7,353,706 B2	(2008) Weighted Released-Beam Sensor
7,397,097 B2	(2008) Integrated Released Beam Layer Structure Fabricated in Trenches and Manufacturing Method Thereof
7,564,405 B2	(2009) Object Locator
7,657,265 B2	(2010) System and Method for Tracking the Location of Multiple Mobile Radio Transceiver Units
7,760,137 B2	(2010) Portable Positioning Determining Device
7,764,228 B2	(2010) Portable Positioning Determining Device
7,989,906 B2	(2011) Bi-Directional released-Beam Sensor
8,334,775 B2	(2012) RFID-Based Asset Security and Tracking System, Apparatus and Method
JP 55-053640 B4	(1980) Defect Resistant Semiconductor Memory Cell
JP 59-044720 B4	(1984) Semiconductor High Speed Read/Write Memory Unit
DE2935121 C2	(1980) Clock Voltage Generator for Semiconductor Memory with Reduced Power Dissipation
DE3043651 A1	(1981) Clock Voltage Generator for Semiconductor Memory with Reduced Power Dissipation
GB2032211 B2	(1980) High Performance Dynamic MOS Read/Write Memory

CUR	RICI	JLUM	VIT	ΔT.
CUI	\mathbf{x}		Y 1 1 .	

Joseph C. MªAlexander III

PATENTS (continued)

EP 1 557 058 B1	(2011) System and method for tracking the location of multiple mobile radio transceiver units [States: AT BE BG CH CY CZ DE DK EE ES FI FR GB GR HU IE IT LI LU MC NL PT RO SE SI SK TR]
EP 1 676 809 B1	(2010) Weighted released-beam sensor [States: DE FR GB IT]
EP 1 676 810 B1	(2010) Bi-directional released-beam sensor [States: DE FR GB IT]

CASES

Cases over at least the past 5 years, either active or closed, in which I have signed a Protective Order, have testified as an expert either at a trial, hearing, or deposition, or have submitted statements / opinions, are:

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²		
Micron* v. Rambus (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	00-792-RRM	Wilmington, DE	2000- 2009	P,t		
(Patents related to	(Patents related to RDRAM, synchronous clocks applied against SDRAMs)					
Rambus v. Samsung* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	C 05-02298 WDB C 05-02398 RMW	San Jose, CA	2005 - 2009	P		
(Patents related to	RDRAM, synchronou	us clocks applied against	SDRAMs)			
Rambus v. Samsung* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	C 05 00334 EDL C 05 00334 RMW	San Francisco, CA	2005 - 2009	P		
(Patents related to	RDRAM, synchronou	us clocks applied against	SDRAMs)			
Rambus v. Micron* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	C 06-00244 RMW	San Jose, CA	2006 - 2009	P,t		
(Patents related to	RDRAM, synchronou	us clocks applied against	SDRAMs)			
FormFactor v. Phicom* (*firm: Mitchell Silberberg)	05-6062-НО	Oregon	2005 - 2009	P		
	(Patents related to	probe cards)				
Mosaid v. Micron* (*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	2:06-CV-302-DF	ED, TX	2006 - 2009	P, t		
	(Patents related to m	nemory devices)				
Fenner* v. Microsoft et al (*firm: Fulbright & Jaworski)	6:07-CV-08 (LED)	Tyler, TX	2007 - 2009	P, t		
(Patent	related to joystick inte	rface to low voltage port	t)			
Agere v. Samsung* (*firm: Quinn Emanuel)	2-06-CV-185 (TJW-CE)	ED, TX	2007 - 2010	P		
	(Patent License	e Dispute)				

^{1 * =} Client

² P = Patent; C = Contract; TS = Trade Secret, AT = Antitrust; CA = Class Action; t = testified

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

CURRICULUM VITAE

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²		
DESA* v. EML (*firm: Fitch Even)	8-04-0160	MD TN, Nashville	2008 - 2009	P		
(Patent related to lighting)						
DCS* v. McData (*firm: Haynes Boone)	3:06-CV-812-L	ND TX, Dallas	2008 - 2009	C, t		
	(Breach of C	Contract)				
Bennett Marine v. Lenco* (*firm: Malen Haley)	04-cv-60326-KAM	SD FL, Ft Laud.	2008- 2009	P, t		
(Pater	nt related to Automated	d Boat Trim Retraction)				
Samsung* v. ON Semi (*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	07-CV-449 (JJF)	DE	2008- 2009	P		
	(Patents related to circ	cuits and process)				
FormFactor v. Phicom* (*firm: Finnegan, Henderson, F G & D)	337-TA-621	ITC	2008 - 2009	P, t		
	(Patents related to	probe cards)				
Orica* v. Austin Powder (*firm: McDermott Will	CV-07-3337-AHM	CD CA,	2008 - 2010	P		
(Pa	tents related to blastin	g electronic controls)				
AMS* v. Crane & Seaga (*firm: Davidson Berquist	3:03-CV88-JPB, 3:08-CV-97-JPB and 3:04-CV- 80,75,48	ND WVA	2008- 2012	P, t		
	(Patents related to ve	nding machines)				
Myriad v. Alltech, Inc.* (*firm: Duane Morris)	1:08-CV-00253-SS	WD TX, Austin	2008 - 2010	TS, t		
	(Trade Secret & © rel	lated to software)				
SciCo* v. Boston Scientific (*firm: Jeffer Mangel)	9:07-CV-00076- RHC	ED TX, Lufkin	2008 - 2009	P, t		
	(Patent related to	o catheters)				

Joseph C. M²Alexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
Renishaw* v. TESA (*firm: Oliff & Berridge)	1:2008-cv-03485	ND IL, Eastern Div	2008 - 2009	P	
	(Patents related to man	ufacturing probes)			
Rambus v. Micron* (*firm: Quinn Emanuel)	04-431105	SCCA, San Fran	2008 - 2011	AT, t	
	(Antitrust o	claims)			
Harris* v. FedEx (*firm: Allen Dyer)	6:07-CV-1819-Orl- 28KRS	MD FL, Orlando	2008 - 2010	P, t	
(Patents	related to ground base	d wireless communication	on)		
Omega* v. Lear (*firm: Allen Dyer)	6:07-CV-1422-Orl- 31DAB	MD FL, Orlando	2008- 2011	P, t	
(Pa	tents related to vehicle	alert and remote start)			
Arbitron* v. Int'1 Demographics (*firm: Dickstein Shapiro)	2;06-cv-434(TJW)	ED TX, Marshall	2008 - 2009	P	
(Pat	ents related to measuring	ng program audiences)			
LSI-Agere v. NSC* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	337-TA-648	ITC	2008- 2009	P	
(Patents related to IC pr	ocess and structure)			
Affinity Labs* v. BMW (*firm: Duane Morris)	9:08-CV-00164	ED TX, Lufkin	2008 - 2010	P,t	
(Patents related to portable audio player)					
Affinity Labs* v. Dice (*firm: Duane Morris)	9:08-CV-00163	ED TX, Lufkin	2008 - 2010	P	
	(Patents related to port	able audio player)			
Affinity Labs* v. Alpine (*firm: Duane Morris)	9:08-CV-00171	ED TX, Lufkin	2008 - 2010	P, t	
	(Patents related to port	able audio player)			
. VALAMENT PLAN STORMS					

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²		
Affinity Labs* v. Apple (*firm: Duane Morris)	9:09-CV-00047	ED TX, Lufkin ND CA, Oakland	2008 – 2009 2010 – 2011	P,t		
	(Patents related to port	able audio player)				
Fast Memory Erase v. Spansion* (*firm: Morrison Foerster)	3:08-CV-0977-M	ND TX, Dallas	2008 - 2011	P		
	(Patents related to men	mory source erase)				
Rambus v. nVidia* et al. (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	337-TA-2637	ITC	2008 - 2011	P		
	(Patents related to me	mory controllers)				
ITT* v. Celco et al. (*firm: Davidson Berquist)	09-190-JJF	DE	2009 - 2012	P		
(Pa	ntent related to GPS po	sition determination)				
Hitachi v. LGE* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	2:07-CV-155-CE	ED TX, Marshall	2009	P		
	(Patents related to p	lasma displays)				
e-Digital v. Samsung* (*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	2:08-cv-93	ED TX, Marshall	2009	P		
(Pa	atents related to handho	eld recording device)				
Sandisk v. LSI Corp* (*firm: Thompson & Knight)	3:2009-CV-02737- WHA	ND CA	2009	P		
(Patents related to video decompression)						
TQP v. ING* (*firm: Duane Morris)	2:08-cv-00471- TJW-CE	ED TX, Marshall	2009 - 2011	P		
(Patents related to encrypted data transmission)						
TAOS* v. Intersil (*firm: Munck Carter)	4:08-CV-451	ED TX, Sherman	2009 - 2015	P		
	(Patent related to optical detector)					

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Avocent* v. The United States (Rose) (*firm: Davidson Berquist	08-69C	US Court of Federal Claims	2009 - 2011	P, t
(Patents related	to video and data trans	smission, KVM control a	nd OSD)	
GSM v. Non Typical* (*firm: Fee Smith Sharp & Vitullo)		ED TX, Tyler	2009 - 2010	P,t
(False Adverti	sing and Patents related	d to sports surveillance ca	ameras)	
Arbitron* v. Kiefl (*firm: Dickson Shapiro)	1:09-CV-04013- PAC SD	NY, New York	2009 - 2010	P
	(Patents related portab	le people meters)		
Guardian* v. RadioShack (*firm: Munck Carter)	3:2009-cv-00649	ND TX, Dallas	2009 - 2011	P
(I	Patent related to securit	ty and surveillance)		
Commil* v. Cisco/Aruba (*firm: Sayles Werbner)	3:07-CV-341	ED TX, Marshall	2009 - 2011	P, t
(Pater	nt related to wireless co	ommunication protocol)		
Auburn* v. IBM (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	3 09-CV-694-WHA	MD AL, Montgomery	2009 - 2011	P
(Patent re	elated to Reliability Te	sting of integrated circuit	s)	
ON Semi v. Hynix* et al (*firm: Quinn Emanuel)	6:09-CV-00390 LED	ED TX, Tyler	2009 - 2011	P
	(Patents related to int	regrated circuits)		
Minerva* v. Motorola et al (*firm: Russ August)	2:07-CV-00229 CE	ED TX, Marshall	2009 - 2010	P
	(Patents related to mob	ile entertainment)		
Minerva* v. Apple (*firm: Russ August)	2:07-CV-00019 TJW	ED TX, Marshall	2009 - 2010	P
	(Patents related to mob	ile entertainment)		

Joseph C. McAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
Wacoh* v. ADI et al. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)	2:09-CV-10119	MI	2010- 2011	P	
	(Patent related to T	esting Sensor)			
SynQuor v. Power One* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	2;07-cv-497	ED TX, Marshall	2010	P, t	
	(Patents related to Po	wer Converters)			
Freescale v. Respondents* (*firm: McDermott Will & Emery)	337-TA-709	ITC	2010 - 2011	P, t	
	(Patent related to	Termination)			
Freescale v. Panasonic et al. (Funai*) (*firm: McDermott Will & Emery)	1:10-CV-00138-LY	WD TX	2010 - 2011	P	
	(Patent related to	Termination)			
PACT v. Xilinx* (*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	2:07-CV-563	ED TX, Marshall	2010 - 2012	P, t	
(Pate	nts related to Programm	mable Data Processing)			
Opti Inc. v. SIS & Via* (*firm: Buether Joe & Carpenter)	2:10-CV-279	ED TX, Marshall	2010 - 2013	P,t	
(Patents related to Cache Memory Snooping)					
Avocent* v. Raritan (*firm: Davidson Berquist)	10-CV-6100	SD NY	2010 - 2012	P	
(Patents related to KVM Switching)					
Key Energy v. Forbes* (*firm: Kennedy Clark & Williams)	2:08-CV-346	ED TX, Marshall	2010 - 2011	P, t	
(Pat	ents related to Remote	Access Data Capture)			

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

CURRICULUM VITAE

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²				
Sandisk v. Kingston* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	10-CV-243	WD WI	2010 - 2011	P				
	(Patents related to I	Flash Memory)						
Richtek Technology v. uPI* (*firm: Haynes & Boone)	C09-05679 WHA	ND CA, SF	2010 - 2013	P				
(F	Patents related to dc-dc	Power Controllers)						
Princeton* v. Canon (*firm: Duane Morris)	2:10-CV-00029- TJW	ED TX, Marshall	2010 -	P				
	(Patent related to vid	eo compression)						
Spansion v. Samsung* (*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	08-855 (SLR)	DE	2011	P				
	(Patents related to Int	tegrated Circuits)						
Samsung* v. Spansion (V) (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	1:10CV881	(LO/JFA) ED VA, Alex.	2011	P				
	(Patents related to Int	tegrated Circuits)						
The Chamberlain Group* v. Decko et al. (*firm: Fitch Even)	1:10-CV-07843	ND IL, ED	2011 - 2012	P				
	(Patents related to garage door opener control systems)							
Asia Optical v. Laser Technology* (*firm: Hogan Lovells)	10-CV-251-JJF	DE	2011	P				
(Patents related to laser distance mearsurement)								
CEATS* v. Continental Airlines et al. (*firm: McDermott Will & Emery)	6:10-CV-120 LED	ED TX, Tyler	2011 - 2012	P, t				
(Patent related to interactive seating selection)								
Lutron v. Crestron* (*firm: Quinn Emanuel)	2:09-cv-707	CD UT	2011 - 2012	P				
(Pa	atents related to electro	onic control systems)		(Patents related to electronic control systems)				

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
Princeton* v. Ricoh (*firm: Trop, Pruner & Hu)	2:11-CV-39	ED TX, Marshall	2011	P	
	(Patents related to vic	leo compression)		***************************************	
Freescale v. Respondents* (*firm: McDermott Will & Emery)	337-TA-786	ITC	2011	P	
	(Patent related to	Termination)			
Alcohol Monitoring* v. BI (*firm: Latrop & Gage) DME-CBS	11-cv-00301- DME-CBS	СО	2011 -	P	
	(Patent related to alco	ohol monitoring)			
T-Netix, Inc.* v. Pinnacle Public Services, LLC (*firm: Gruber Hurst)	2:09-CV-00333-CE	ED TX, Marshall	2011 - 2012	P	
(Patents related	to penal institution te	lephone communication	control)		
TattleTale* v. Calfee (*firm: Cooper & Elliott)	2:10-CV-226	SD OH, Columbus	2011 - 2012	P	
(Patents related to portable alarm systems)					
Cypress* v. GSI et al (*firm: Morrision & Foerster)	337-TA-792	ITC	2011 - 2012	P, t	
(Patents related to memory operation, architecture, and layout)					
Infineon* v. Atmel (*firm:Kirkland & Ellis)	1:11-cv-00307	DE	2011 - 2012	P	
(Patents related to IC circuits and process)					
Cherdak v. Rack Room* (*firm: Lathrop & Gage)	1:11-CV-169 LO/ JFA	ED of VA	2011 - 2012	P	
(Patent	s related to IC timing of	device for athletic shoes)		

Joseph C. MªAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
DRAM Mem Tech v. Etron* et al (*firm: Dickstein Shapiro)	8:11-CV-000332- DOC-SS	CD of CA	2011- 2012	P	
(.	Patents related to IC m	emory technology)			
Richtek Technology v. uPI Semi.* et al (*firm: Haynes and Boone)	337-TA-698	ITC	2011 - 2012	P, t	
	(Patents related to DC	-DC Controllers)			
Solid State Storage v. STEC* (*firm: Akin Gump)	2:11-CV-391	ED TX, Marshall	2011 -	P	
(Pate	ents related to Memory	and Memory Control)			
ParkerVision* v.Qualcomm (*firm:Allen,Dyer,McKool)	3:11-CV-719-J-37	MD FL, J.ville	2011 - 2012	P	
(Patents re	lated to Signal Down-	Converting and Translat	ion)		
Monolithic Power Systems* v. Silergy (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	CV-10-01533 CAS (AGR)	CD CA	2011	P	
	(Patents related to pov	wer supply boost)		·	
Oracle v. Micron* CV10-4340 ND CA, San Jose 2011 - 2012 C					
	(Conspiracy, Agreem	ent Compliance)			
Grail* v. Mitsubishi et al. (*firm: Niro Haller & Niro)	1-07-CV-098590	SC CA, Santa Clara	2011 - 2012	TS, t	
(Improper disclosure and use of Trade Secrets)					
Grail* v. Renesas (*firm: Niro Haller &Niro)	C 11-03847	ND CA, San Fran	2011 -	P	
(Patent related to inductive coupled memory)					
Round Rock v. ASUS* (*firm: Perkins Coie)	11-978-MSG	DE	2012 - 2013	P	
(Patents related to die termination control and memory communication)					

Joseph C. MEAlexander III

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
Intellectual Ventures v. Hynix* (*firm: Quinn Emanuel)	1:10-cv-01066- UNA	DE	2012	P	
(Pate	nts related to die mem	ory access and control)			
Omega* v. Skypatrol et al.(*firm: Allen Dyer)	Moore/Torres	SD FL, Miami	2012 - 2013	P	
	(Patents related to ve	hicular tracking)			
Creative* v. Nintendo (*firm: Barnes & Thornburg)	2:10-cv-2735- AHM-VBK	CD CA, Western	2012	P	
(Pa	tents related to ROM n	nemory array design)			
Beacon v. Honda* et al. (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	337-TA-814	ITC	2012	P	
	(Patents related to	navigation)			
AVM* v. Intel (*firm: Goldstein; Boies)	10-610-RK	DE	2012 – 2013	P	
(Patent related to dynamic logic circuits)					
Modec v. Floatec* (*firm: Duane Morris)	2011-68931	Harris County, TX	2012 - 2013	TS	
(Trade Secrets and Confidential Information)					
Cian* v. Aeroflex (*firm: Skiermont)	3:11-cv-3349	ND TX, Dallas	2012	P	
(Patent related to PC Compatible Modular Based Diagnostic System)					
Cian* v. Agilent (*firm: Skiermont)	3:11-ev-3351	ND TX, Dallas	2012	P	
(Patent related to PC Compatible Modular Based Diagnostic System)					
Cian* v. National Instruments (*firm: Skiermont)	3:11-cv-3353	ND TX, Dallas	2012 - 2013	P	
(Patent related	to PC Compatible Mo	dular Based Diagnostic	System)		

Joseph C. MªAlexander III

CURRICULUM VITAE

Cian* v. Pickering (*firm: Skiermont) 3:11-cv-3354 ND TX, Dallas 2012 P	CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
Cian* v. Spirent (*firm: Skiermont) (Patent related to PC Compatible Modular Based Diagnostic System) Internet Machines v. PLX* (*firm: Baker & McKenzie) (Patent re. PCI express switch) TPL v. Dell et al.*3 (*firm: Hogan Lovells) (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) ND TX, Dallas 2012 P 2013 P 2012 - 2013 P, t 2012 - 2013 P ED TX, Marshall 2013 P 2013 P 2014 P	•	3:11-cv-3354	ND TX, Dallas	2012	P
(*firm: Skiermont) 3.11-cv-3336 IND 1X, Dahlas 2012 1 (Patent related to PC Compatible Modular Based Diagnostic System) Internet Machines v. PLX* (*firm: Baker & McKenzie) 6:11-cv-00250- MHS ED TX, Tyler 2012 - 2013 P (Patent re. PCI express switch) TPL v. Dell et al.*3 (*firm: Hogan Lovells) 337-TA-841 ITC 2012 - 2013 P,t (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) 3:12-cv-00530 New York 2012 - 2013 P (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts) 2:11-CV-391 ED TX, Marshall 2013 P (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) 2:12-CV-02319- MCE-EFB ED CA 2012 - P (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) 6:12-cv-498-ACC- MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P	(Patent related	to PC Compatible Mo	odular Based Diagnostic S	System)	
Internet Machines v. PLX* (*firm: Baker & McKenzie) MHS (Patent re. PCI express switch) TPL v. Dell et al.*3 (*firm: Hogan Lovells) 337-TA-841 ITC 2012 - 2013 P,t (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) 3:12-cv-00530 New York 2013 P (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) GJK MHS ED TX, Tyler 2012 - P,t 2012 - P ED TX, Marshall 2013 P ED CA 2012 - P		3:11-cv-3356	ND TX, Dallas	2012	P
(*firm: Baker & McKenzie) MHS ED TX, Tyter 2013 P TPL v. Dell et al.*3 (*firm: Hogan Lovells) 337-TA-841 ITC 2012 - 2013 P,t Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) 3:12-cv-00530 New York 2012 - 2013 P Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts) 2:11-CV-391 ED TX, Marshall 2013 P Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) 2:12-CV-02319-MCE-EFB ED CA 2012 - P P (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) 6:12-cv-498-ACC-GJK MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P	(Patent related	to PC Compatible Mo	dular Based Diagnostic	System)	
(Patent re. PCI express switch) TPL v. Dell et al.*3 (*firm: Hogan Lovells) (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) GJK MD FL, Orlando 2012 - P (MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014			ED TX, Tyler	1	P
(*firm: Hogan Lovells) (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (2:11-CV-391 ED TX, Marshall 2013 P (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (6:12-cv-498-ACC- GJK MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P		(Patent re. PCI ex	press switch)		
(*firm: Hogan Lovells) (Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (2:11-CV-391 ED TX, Marshall 2013 P (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (6:12-cv-498-ACC- GJK MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P			Name of the second seco		
(Patents related to Memory Interface Ports) Wacoh* v. Kionix, Inc. (*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) (Batents related to Memory Interface Ports) New York 2012 - P ED TX, Marshall 2013 P ED CA 2012 - P (Memory Modules)		337-TA-841	ITC		P,t
(*firm: Clearman Prebeg)) 3:12-cv-00530 New York 2013 P (Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer) Solid State Storage v. Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts) 2:11-CV-391 ED TX, Marshall 2013 P (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) 2:12-CV-02319-MCE-EFB ED CA 2012 - P (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) 6:12-cv-498-ACC-GJK MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P		Patents related to Mem	ory Interface Ports)		
Solid State Storage v. Fusion* 2:11-CV-391 ED TX, Marshall 2013 P (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* 6:12-cv-498-ACC- (*firm: Fish & Richardson) GJK MD FL, Orlando 2013 P 2012 - P MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014	(*firm: Clearman Prebeg))				P
Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts) (Patents related to Memory and Memory Control) Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) (Signat Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) P ED TX, Marshall 2013 P ED CA 2012 - P (Memory Modules)	(Patents related to inclinometer/accelerometer)				
Smart Modular Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) GJK ED CA 2012 - P (Memory Modules) P	Fusion* (*firm: Baker Botts)			2013	P
Technologies* v. Netlist (*firm: Schwegman) 2:12-CV-02319- MCE-EFB ED CA 2012 - P (Memory Modules) NXP v. RIM* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) 6:12-cv-498-ACC- GJK MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P	(Patents related to Memory and Memory Control)				
NXP v. RIM* 6:12-cv-498-ACC- MD FL, Orlando 2013 - 2014 P	Technologies* v. Netlist		ED CA	2012 -	P
(*firm: Fish & Richardson) GJK MD FL, Orlando 2014	(Memory Modules)				
(Mask pattern density)		ł.	MD FL, Orlando		P
		(Mask patterr	n density)		

³ Additional Respondents: Seiko Epson (Kirkland & Ellis), HP (Kenyon & Kenyon), Brother (Banner Witcoff), Kingston (S J Christine Yang), Fujitsu (Morrison Foerster), HiTi Digital (Eastwind Consultants), Canon (Jones Day), Acer (K L Gates), Newegg/Rosewill (Web Law)

Joseph C. M[£]Alexander III

CURRICULUM VITAE

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
Kangaroo Media* v. Immersion Entertainment (*firm: Kenyon & Kenyon)	2:12-cv-00382-JFC	WD PA	2012 -	P	
(A	Audio/Video Entertainr	ment System patent)			
Nokia* v. HTC (*firm: Desmarais)	337-TA-847	ITC	2012 - 2013	P,t	
	(Signal transmission	and attentuation)			
LendingTree* v. Zillow et al. (*firm: Sheppard Mullin)	3:10-CV-00439	WD NC, Charlotte	2013 - 2014	P	
(Patents relat	ed to internet lending i	nstitution access and sele	ection)		
Floatec* v. Magnuson (*firm: Duane Morris)	2011-54420	61st Judicial District, Harris County, TX	2012 - 2014	TS	
	(Misappropriation	and Misuse)			
Affinity* v. Clear Channel (*firm: Duane Morris)	1:12-CV-00205-LY	WD TX, Austin	2013 - 2014	P,t	
	(Patent related to bro	oadcast content)			
Synopsys* v. Mentor Graphics (*firm: Sidley Austin)	3:12-cv-06467- MMC	ND CA	2013	P	
(Patents	related to logic circuit	description and synthesi	s)		
Affinity* v. Ford (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	1:12-cv-00580	EDTX, Beaumont	2013 - 2014	P	
(Patents related to portable audio player)					
Affinity* v. GM (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	1:12-ev-00582	EDTX, Beaumont	2013 - 2014	Р	
(Patents related to portable audio player)					
Nokia* v. HTC (*firm: Desmarais)	337-TA-885	ITC	2013 - 2014	P	
(Patents related to portable electronic device housing)					

Joseph C. M²Alexander III

Rothbaum v. Samsung Telecom America LLC* (*firm: Choate Hall & Stewart) (Class Action) LendingTree* v. Zillow et al. (*firm: Sheppard Mullin) (On-Line Mortgage Loan Transactions) Secure Axcess* v. Nintendo (*firm: Klemchcuk Kubasta) (patents related to dual screen display) Fenner* v. CELLCO (*firm: Loewinsohn) (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) (Class Action) DMA 2013 - 2014 P WDNC, Charlotte 2013 - 2014 P ED TX, Marshall 2013 - 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2013 P (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Sill-cV-4782-D ND TX, Dallas 2014 - CL Pinker & Cox)	CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²
LendingTree* v. Zillow et al. (*firm: Sheppard Mullin) (On-Line Mortgage Loan Transactions) Secure Axcess* v. Nintendo (*firm: Klemchcuk Kubasta) (patents related to dual screen display) Fenner* v. CELLCO (*firm: Loewinsohn) (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) Profectus v. Samsung* (patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) Axcess* v. Nintendo (2:13-CV-00032 ED TX, Marshall 2013 - 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2013 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P CA ED TX, Tyler 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P CA ED TX, Tyler 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P CA ED TX, Tyler 2014 P C	Telecom America LLC* (*firm: Choate Hall &	11-10509 (MLW)	DMA		CL
al. (*firm: Sheppard Mullin) (On-Line Mortgage Loan Transactions) Secure Axcess* v. Nintendo (*firm: Klemchcuk Kubasta) (patents related to dual screen display) Fenner* v. CELLCO (*firm: Loewinsohn) (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) (patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) ND TX, Dallas 2013 - P 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2013 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P ED TX, Tyler 2014 P		(Class Ac	tion)		
Secure Axcess* v. Nintendo (*firm: Klemchcuk Kubasta) 2:13-CV-00032 ED TX, Marshall 2013 - 2014 P	al. (*firm: Sheppard Mullin)	FDW-DCK			P
Nintendo (*firm: Klemchcuk Kubasta) 2:13-CV-00032 ED TX, Marshall 2013 - 2014 P (patents related to dual screen display) Fenner* v. CELLCO (*firm: Loewinsohn) 6:11-cv-348 (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) (patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) Since D TX, Marshall 2013 - P ED TX, Tyler 2013 ED TX, Tyler 2014 P CL		(On-Line Mortgage Lo	oan Transactions)		
Fenner* v. CELLCO (*firm: Loewinsohn) (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) (patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) (patent related to digital picture frames) Red TX, Tyler 2014 Posterior professional picture frames)	Nintendo (*firm: Klemchcuk	2:13-CV-00032	ED TX, Marshall		P
(*firm: Loewinsohn) 6:11-cv-348 ED TX, Tyler 2013 P (patent related to telecommunication) Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) 6:11-cv-00474- MHS ED TX, Tyler 2014 P (patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) 3:12-CV-4782-D ND TX, Dallas 2014 - CL		(patents related to dua	l screen display)		
Profectus v. Huawei, Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) Continuous Profectus v. Huawei, 6:11-cv-00474- MHS ED TX, Tyler 2014 P			· ·	2013	P
Samsung* (*firm: Fish & Richardson) (patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox) Samsung* (Datent related to digital picture frames) ED TX, Tyler 2014 P ND TX, Dallas 2014 - CL		(patent related to telec	communication)		
(patent related to digital picture frames) Galitski v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson 3:12-CV-4782-D ND TX, Dallas 2014 - CL Pinker & Cox)	Samsung*		ED TX, Tyler	2014	P
(*firm: Lynn Tillotson 3:12-CV-4782-D ND TX, Dallas 2014 - CL Pinker & Cox)					
(Class Astion)	(*firm: Lynn Tillotson		•	2014 -	CL
(Class Action)		(Class Ac	tion)		
Chrysler* v. Norman IP Holdings (*firm: Dickstein Shapiro) PETITION FOR IPR - U.S. PATENT NO. 5,502,689 USPTO 2014 - P	Holdings	IPR - U.S. PATENT NO. 5,502,689		2014 -	P
(Patent related to IC clock generation)		(Patent related to IC c	lock generation)		

CURRICULUM VITAE

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

Cases (continued)

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
Allure Energy* v. Nest Labs (*firm: Dickinson Wright)	9:13-CV-00102-RC	ED TX, Lufkin	2014 - 2015	p	
	(Smart Ther	mostat)			
Affinity* v. Ford (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-363	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Pa	tents related to wireless	s media connectivity)			
Affinity* v. GM (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-370	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Patents related to wireless media connectivity)					
Affinity* v. Volvo (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-366	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Pa	tents related to wireless	s media connectivity)			
Affinity* v. Honda (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-367	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Patents related to wireless media connectivity)					
Affinity* v. Nissan (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-369	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Patents related to wireless media connectivity)					
Affinity* v. Jaguar (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-368	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Patents related to wireless media connectivity)					
Affinity* v. Toyota (*firm: Robins Kaplan)	WA:13-CV-365	WD TX, Waco	2014	P	
(Patents related to wireless media connectivity)					
Trover* v. Tyco (*firm: McDole Williams)	2:13-cv-0052	ED TX, Marshall	2014	P	
(Patents related to Security Cameras)					
Radiall* v. Glenair (*firm: Oliff)	2:14-CV-00822- ODW	CDCA	2014 -	P	
(Patent related to electrical connector)					

CURRICULUM VITAE

Joseph C. MaAlexander III

Cases (continued)

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²	
LunarEye* v. Independent Witness (*firm: Prebeg Faucett Abbott)	9:05-CV-00188- RC)	EDTX, Lufkin	2014 -	P	
(Patent related to trigger location telemetry)					
AMS* v. Soberkink (*firm: Lathrop & Gage)	IPR013-00577	USPTO PTAB	2014 -	P	
(Patent related to sobriety monitoring)					
Infineon v. Volterra* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	3:11-cv-06239- MMC	NDCA	2014	P	
	(Patents related to	IC packaging)			
Volterra* v Primarion (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	CV-08-5129 (RS)	NDCA	2014	P	
(Patents related to IC packaging)					
Infineon v. Volterra* (*firm: Weil Gotshal)	2:13-cv-684	EDTX, Marshall	2014	P	
(Patents related to IC packaging)					
AMS* Reexam (*firm: Lathrop & Gage)	SN: 95/001,609	USPTO	2014 -	P	
(Patent related to alcohol event monitoring)					
Triune Systems* v. Active- Semi (*firm: Farrow-Gillespie & Heath LLC)	296-03209-2013	Collin County	2014 -	TS	
(Covenant not to compete)					
La Crosse* v. Ambient (*firm: Banner & Witcoff)	13-cv-833	WD WI	2014 - 2015	P	
(Patents related to weather detectors)					

Joseph C. MªAlexander III

CURRICULUM VITAE

Cases (continued)

CASE ¹	CASE NUMBER	LOCATION	YEAR	TYPE ²		
Progressive Semiconductor Solutions v. Marvell Scemiconductor* (*firm: Fish & Richardson)	Inter Partes review petition re. 6,473,349	USPTO	2014 -	P		
(Pate	ent related to sense ar	nplifiers for memory)				
Anderson v. Samsung* (*firm: Lynn Tillotson Pinker & Cox)	SACV-13-01028	CD CA, SD	2014 - 2015	CL		
	(Class Action)					
Netlist v. Diablo* (*firm: McDermott Will & Emery)	4:13-cv-05962- YGR	ND CA, Oakland	2014 - 2015	TS		
(Technology related to Misappropriation of Trade Secret)						
Netlist v. Diablo* (*firm: McDermott Will & Emery)	4:13-cv-05889- YGR	ND CA	2014 -	P		
(Patents related to DIMM DDR3 SDRAM load reduction and rank multiplication)						
Spherix* v. Uniden (*firm: Skiermont Puckett)	3 :13-cv-03496-M	ND TX, Dallas	2014 -	P		
(Patents related to cordless phones)						
Spherix* v. VTech (*firm: Skiermont Puckett)	3 :13-cv-03494-M	ND TX, Dallas	2014 -	P		
(Patents related to cordless phones)						
Securus* v. Global (*firm: Gruber)	3:13-CV-03009-K	ND TX	2014 -	P		
(Patents related to telecom systems)						
Global v. Securus* (*firm: Gruber)	3:14-CV-0829-K	ND TX	2014 -	P		
(Patents related to telecom systems)						
Samsung* v. NVIDIA (*firm: Kirkland & Ellis)	337-TA-941	ITC	2015 -	P		
(Patents related to ICs and systems)						

OTHER CLIENTS

I have worked with other clients in various areas of my expertise, include "system, product, and process investigation," "patent valuation," "product liability and insurance claim investigation," "quality systems consulting and engineering," and "IP licensing." This work generally relates to patents, and may involve analysis of products either defensively or offensively. In no case does any of the work involve design of circuits, processes, or systems.

Certain of these clients are not to be revealed because of client confidentiality agreements, unless the Court requires such disclosure and confidentiality provisions are provided to protect such disclosure. Clients may retain services preparatory to filing a suit or even name me as an expert in a number of these possible or pending cases. However, these cases have not progressed as yet to deposition, or even to protective order signing, so it would be inappropriate to reveal these clients at this time.

Non-confidentially, I have represented a radiation effects testing company, ICS Radiation Technology. Further, I have worked with investment companies, such as Hatcreek Partners, reviewing potential investment opportunities, and have participated as a technical advisor to nLine Corporation, a company that developed a product for semiconductor wafer inspection using holographic High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) technology.

These and other non-confidentially related companies are:

ICS Radiation Technology

- Consulting work related to nuclear radiation effects testing.

Creative Management Consultants (CMC)

- Consulting work related to Internet services, such as access service to clients and web site hosting services; providing business co-op services and internet product purchasing sites.

Hatcreek Partners

- Hatcreek Partners is an investment firm. I served as a technical advisor in reviewing the technology of investment opportunities.

nLine Corporation

- Member of Technical Advisory Board
- nLine Corp.'s business related to semiconductor holographic High Aspect Ratio Inspection (HARI) technology.

Texas Instruments

- Patent evaluation and application consulting.

CURRICULUM VITAE

OTHER CLIENTS (continued)

McAlexander Sound Pte Ltd

- Managing Director
- engineering consulting for Singapore based companies

Spirit Song Youth Equestrian Academy / Spirit Song Holdings

- CEO
- Equine Psychotherapy Program for abused / traumatized youth

Bethel Cannon Group / Bethel Cannon Holdings

- Partner
- Counseling / Event / Retreat Center / Hunting Lodge

Casualty Consulting Group of America

- Partner
- Assessment of structural damage caused by extreme weather events

Contact:

M[©]Alexander Sound, Inc.

101 W. Renner Rd., Suite 350 Richardson, TX 75082-2016

(972) 421-4421	main
(972) 421-4411	direct
(214) 542-1772	cell
(972) 421-4422	fax
joemc@ieee.org	email

<end>

NEWTON'S TELECONI DICTIONARY

23rd Edition

Harry Newton



New York

NEWTON'S TELECOM DICTIONARY

copyright (c) 2007 Harry Newton email: Harry@HarryNewton.com book site: www.NewtonsTelecomDictionary.com personal web site: www.HarryNewton.com

All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright conventions, including the right to reproduce this book or portions thereof in any form whatsoever.

Published in the United States by Flatiron Publishing 50 Central Park West New York; NY 10023 email: Harry@HarryNewton.com www.FlatironBooks.com



Distributed by National Bank Network 4501 Forbes Boulevard, Suite 200 Lanham, MD 20706

Orders
Phone toll-free 1-800-462-6420
Direct 1-717-794-3800
Fax 1-800.338.4550
custserv@nbnbooks.com

ISBN Number 0-9793873-0-2 March 2007 Twenty Third Edition Steven Schoen, Contributing Editor Gail Saari, Layout and Production Artist Saul Roldan and Damien Casteneda, Cover design

Stay In Touch

For suggestions, corrections, updates, special offers, please send an email to Harry@HarryNewton.com.

I promise you I won't give your name to anybody. Nobody. Promise.

Harry Newston

APLT Advanced Private Line Termination. Provides the PBX user with access to all the services of an associated enhanced private switched communications services (EPSCS) network, it also functions when associated with a common control switching arrangement (CCSA) network. See Advanced Private Line Termination.

APM 1. Average Positions Manned, the average number of ACD positions manned during

the reporting period for a particular group.

2. Advanced Power Management. A specification originally sponsored by Intel and Microsoft to extend the life of batteries in battery-powered computers. The idea of the specification is for the application programs, the system BIOS and the hardware to work together to reduce power consumption. An APM-compliant BIOS provides built-in power management services to the operating system of your PC. The operating system passes calls and information between the BIOS and the application programs. It also arbitrates power management calls in a multi-tasking environment (such as Windows) and identifies powersaving opportunities not apparent to applications. The application software communicates power-saving data via predefined APM interfaces. Windows 95 adopted APM to shut down the computer. It uses a special mode of the latest Intel processors - System Management Mode, or SMM. SMM lets the BIOS take control of the machine at any time and manage power to peripherals. A BIOS' APM support can't be circumvented by other software. This could cause a crash. Microsoft, Intel, Toshiba and others are now working on a new spec, called ACPI - Advanced Configuration and Power Interface. www.intel.com/IAL/powermam/apmovr.htm and www.ata.or/~acpi/.

APNIC Asia Pacific Network Information Center. A group formed to coordinate and promote TCP/IP based networks in the Asia-Pacific region. APNIC is responsible for management and assignment of IP (Internet Protocol) addresses in the Asia-Pacific, just as are ARIN and RIPE in the regions of the Americas and Europe, respectively. See also ARIN, IP,

and RIPF

APO Adaptive Performance Optimization. A technology used on the Texas Instruments ThunderLAN chipset, which was jointly developed by Compaq and Texas Instruments. APO dynamically adjusts critical parameters for minimum latency, minimum host CPU utilization and maximum system performance. This technology ensures that the capabilities of the PCI interface are used for automatically tuning the controller to the specific system in which it is operating.

Apocalypse, Four Horsemen Of The four horsemen of the Apocalypse were

apogee The point on a satellite orbit that is most distant from the center of the gravitational field of the Earth. The point in an orbit at which the satellite is closest to the Earth is known as the perigee. In commercial application, the terms have most significance with respect to LEOs (Low Earth Orbiting) and MEOs (Middle Earth Orbiting) satellite constellations, which travel in elliptical orbits. See LEO and MEO.

apologize To lay the foundation for a future offense.

APON Originally specified by FSAN (Full Service Access Network) and subsequently standardized by the ITU-T as G.983.3, APON (ATM Passive Optical Network) is a local loop technology running the ATM protocol over single mode fiber. Synonymous with BPON (Broadband PON) APON runs at 155 Mbps or 622 Mbps downstream at a wavelength of 1490nm for voice and data and 1550nm for video transmission. The upstream speed is 155 Mbps at 1310nm for voice and data. The maximum logical reach of BPON is 20km, and the split ratio is 32:1. See also BPON, EPON, FSAN, GPON and PON.

APOT Additional Point Of Termination. The significance of APOT is that in the CLEC environment APOT is a requirement to submit LSR orders for collocation. These are some requirements that apply to APOT from Bell's point of view: APOT= Location "A" tie down information; CFA= Location "Z" tie down information; ACTL= Location "A" CLLI; LST= Loca-

tion "Z" CLLI.

apparent power The mathematical product of the RMS current and the RMS

voltage. Identical to the VA rating.

APPC Advanced Program-To-Program Communications. In SNA, the architectural component that allows sessions between peer-level application transaction programs. The LUs (Logical Units) that communicate during these sessions are known as LU type 6.2. APPC is an IBM protocol analogous to the OSI model's session layer: it sets up the necessary conditions that enable application programs to send data to each other through the network.

APPC/PC An IBM product that implements APPC on a PC.

appearance Usually refers to a private branch exchange line or extension which is on (i.e. "appears") on a multi-button key telephone. For example, extension 445 appears on three key systems.

appearance test point The point at which a circuit may be measured by test

append To add the contents of a list, or file, to those of another. APPGEN A shortened form of the words APPlications GENerator.

Apple Computer, Inc. Cupertino, CA. Manufacturer of personal computers. Heavy penetration in the graphics/desktop publishing business and in education. Apple was formed on April Fool's Day, 1976, by Steve Wozniak and Steve Jobs, aided greatly by Mike Markkula.

Apple Desktop Bus The interface on a Mac where non-peripheral devices, such as the keyboard, attaches. A Mac keyboard or mouse is called an ADB device. Contrast with peripherals, which attach through the SCSI interface. See also USB, which is a new bus for use on PCs but fulfilling essentially the same function as the Apple Desktop Bus.

Apple Desktop Interface ADI. A set of user-interface guidelines, developed by Apple Computer and published by Addison-Wesley, intended to ensure that the appearance and operation of all Macintosh applications are similar.

Apple Menu The Apple icon in the upper left hand corner of the Apple Macintosh screen. The Apple menu contains aliases, control panels, the chooser and other desk ac-

Apple Pie Both an American icon, and the name chosen for Apple Computer's Personal Interactive Electronics (PIE) division, chartered with extending the company into new growth areas such as Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs), e.g. the Apple Newton. The PIE division includes Apple Online Services, Newton and Telecommunications group, publishing activities, and ScriptX-based multimedia PDA development.

Apple Remote Access ARA is Apple Computer's dial in client software for Macintosh users allowing remote access to Apple and third party servers.

Apple URP Apple Update Routing Protocol. The network routing protocol developed by Apple for use with Appletalk.

AppleShare Apple Computer's local area network. It uses AppleTalk protocols. Apple-Share is Apple system software that allows sharing of files and network services via a file server in the Apple Macintosh environment. See AppleTalk.

applet Mini-programs that can be downloaded quickly and used by any computer equipped with a Java-capable browser. Applets carry their own software players. See Java. **Apple Talk** Apple Computer's proprietary networking protocol for linking Macintosh computers and peripherals, especially printers. This protocol is independent of what network it is layered on. Current implementations exist for LocalTalk (230.4 Kbps) and EtherTalk

AppleTalk Address Resolution Protocol See AARP.

AppleTalk Zone and Device Filtering Provides an additional level of security for AppleTalk networks. On AppleTalk networks, network managers can selectively hide or show devices and/or zones to ARA clients. See ARA.

appliance See Edge Appliance.

appliance creep Gadget creep in an enterprise network environment. For example, over time, various groups in the enterprise, including branch offices and remote sites, may install firewalls, intrusion detection systems, load-balancing devices, various types of WAN acceleration appliances, and other network devices, each of which performs a specific, narrow function. Each of these appliances also has power, interface, and space requirements, which create network management challenges. The figurative or literal string of appliances on a network is sometimes called an appliance conga line.

appliance conga line See appliance creep.

application A software program that carries out some useful task. Database managers, spreadsheets, communications packages, graphics programs and word processors are all applications.

application acceleration The use of one or more techniques by a WAN accelerator to improve perceived application response time across a WAN. These techniques

include compression and coalescing.

application based call routing in addition to the traditional methods of routing and tracking calls by trunk and agent group, the latest Automatic Call Distributors route and track calls by application. An application is a type of call, for example, sales or service. Tracking calls in this manner allows accurately reported calls, especially when they are overflowed to different agent groups. See ACD.

Application Binary Interface ABI. The rules by which software code is written to operate specific computer hardware. Application software, written to conform to an ABI, is able to be run on a wide variety of system platforms that use the computer hardware for which the ABI is designed.

application bridge Aspect Telecommunications' ACD to host computer link. Originally it ran only over R2-232 serial connections, but it now runs over Ethernet, using the TCP/IP link protocol. See also Open Application Interface.

Case 3:14-cv-00829-K Document 150 Filed 07/20/15 Page 45PLE 49ug Real policy BLOS Mexicons

ing system and/or s98 is a platform, very owner of that -produced software ctive of creating a

fustry have created eaving carriers with as created an enviy specific platform. Hize their creation, ships are extremely a that have little or ow consumer adoptworks that require eve that closed and create applications lives. This agnostic 1 generate revenue ing any technology

/indows CE. Which seful software apsers to write for my between Palm OS

Computer Systems. Itop any operating vite their software r software working e right. erations in a hard

ess one for Harry,"
F (Dual Tone Multirith his touchtones, ited from an actual ch (as in leaving a eech (as in playing

processing system. le playing the mesthe touchtones for to it. On the other ing the message, I ead and delete the

also a multimedia oy an author. Playo participating in a tions (for example their authoring apck capabilities in a

ion on the tape or (tape or disk) past sctor (a coil) in the ed or transmitted. he audio data can through a text-toetc.

for a living. I first esters" for its Xbox

electronic game – the one meant to compete with the Sony Playstation.

PLB Personal Locator Beacons. See Personal Radio Services.

PLC 1. Planar Lightwave Circuit.

2. See PowerLine Carrier and also BPL.

PLCP Physical Layer Convergence Protocol. The part of the physical layer that adapts the transmission facility to handle DQDB functions as defined in IEEE 802.6-1990. It is used for DS-3 transmission of ATM. ATM cells are encapsulated in a 125microsecond frame defined by the PLCP which is defined inside the DS3 M-frame.

PLD Programmable logic device. PLDs used to be slow, big and expensive. Now they can be customized using a PC and their performance is close to that of the ASIC. See ASIC.

PLDS Private line data circuit. I don't know why it's an S, not a C.

pleading cycle The time period established by the FCC for third parties to submit written comments on a petition submitted by a carrier, broadcaster or other entity.

Please Do Not Tell Sales People Anything A memory aid for remembering the seven layers of the OSI Reference Model.

Please: Physical Layer (Layer 1)
Do: Data Link Layer (Layer 2)
Not: Network Layer (Layer 3)
Tell: Transport Layer (Layer 4)
Sales: Session Layer (Layer 5)
People: Presentation Layer (Layer 6)
Anything: Application Layer (Layer 7)

Please Do Not Throw Sausage Pizza Away See "Please Do Not Tell

Sales People Anything."

pleasure All pleasure is sin, according to John Calvin.

plenum In some modern buildings, the ducts carrying the heat return are not metal ducts but actually are part of the ceiling. This is called a plenum ceiling. Most cities now have rules and regulations which say that if you run cabling through these plenum ceilings, you must not use cabling sheathed in PVC (polyvinyl chloride), the standard jacketing of most electrical cable. The reason is that PVC burns and emits toxic smoke ferociously. Plenum cable is low smoking so that if it catches fire it won't circulate toxic smoke through the vent system and suffocate everyone. Plenum cabling is often made of teflon. It's much more expensive than normal cabling. See also FFP, NFPNA 90A, plenum area and plenum cable.

pienum area The space between the drop ceiling and the floor above. Continuous throughout the length and width of each commercial building floor.

plenum cable Cable listed by Underwriters Laboratories for installation in plenums without the need for conduit. Cable specifically designed for use in a plenum, or air-handling space (the space above a suspended ceiling used to circulate air back to the heating or cooling system in a building) As specified by the NEC (National Electrical Code), plenum rated cable uses buffers, insulation and jackets made of low smoke, low toxicity, fire retardant material with a low flame spread index and a low potential heat (i.e., fuel load) level. Otherwise, a fire can travel along a cable, from room to room through walls, fanned by the air moving through the plenum, while giving off a vile, deadly smoke as it does so. The best plenum rated jacketing material generally is agreed to be fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP), which Dupont markets as Teflon. Building codes now require the use of plenum rated cables in plenum spaces, and many contractors use it exclusively in plenum, riser and distribution applications. See also riser cable and distribution cable. Many buildings and many cities stipulate that only plenum cable can be installed in the plenum in the ceilings. Plenum cable has fully color coded insulated copper conductors and is available in various pair sizes.

Plesiochronous Plesiochronous, based on Greek and Latin roots, roughly translates as "more together in time." Plesiochronous networks involve multiple digital synchronous circuits running at different clock rates. For instance, a Verizon T-1 circuit may meet a MCI T-1 circuit, with each taking making use of a different clocking source. Also for example, multiple MCI T-1 circuits may require multiplexing into a T-3 circuit; with the T-1's and the T-3 running at different clock speeds. In either case, the differences in clock speeds must be resolved through the use of a master clocking source such as a Stratum I clock, which relies on a highly reliable cesium clocking source. T-carrier and E-carrier networks are plesiochronous. Compare to Synchronous, Asynchronous and Isochronous. See also PDH.

plesiochronous networks Network elements that derive timing from more than one primary reference source. Network elements accommodate minor frequency differences between nodes.

PLL Phase Locked Loop: Phase Locked Loop is a mechanism whereby timing information is transferred within a data stream and the receiver derives the signal element timing by locking its local clock source to the received timing information.

PLLC Professional Limited Liability Corporation, as in a law firm.

PLM Public Land Mobile. See the next definition.

PLMN Public Land Mobile Network. A mobile telephone communications network established by a provider to facilitate mobile telecommunications services. This includes equipment, operations, and staff. A single provider may have more than one PLMN.

PLMR Private Land Mobile Radio system.

Plotter A type of computer peripheral printer that displays data in two-dimensional graphics form.

PLS Premises Lightwave System.

PLSC Private Line Service Center.

PLTS Private Line Transport Service. Non-switched communications channel from one customer location to another. May be leased from a Local Exchange Carrier or Interexchange Carrier

PLU See Percent of Local Usage.

plug A male element of a plug/jack connector system. In the Premises Wiring System it provides the means for the user to connect his communications devices to the Communications Outlet as well as the means to disconnect his service at the Network Interface Jack when trouble analysis is required.

plug 'N play 1. Manufacturers' concept of how easy it is to install their equipment. "Why it's just plug 'n play," says the manufacturer. In reality, nothing, absolutely nothing, is plug 'n play. It's a fantasy concept. See Plug and Play.

2. Also defined as a new hire who doesn't need any training. "The new guy, Harry, is

great. He's 100% plug-and-play."

plug and peer A term used by VoIP peering services to describe the advantage of using their interconnection service. The basic idea is that a VoIP provider that signs up with a VoIP peering service will enjoy instant connectivity to the networks of all other VoIP providers that use the peering service. A VoIP provider that uses a VoIP peering service only has to concern itself with establishing a connection between its own network and the peering service's network; the peering service handles the rest. This spares each individual VoIP provider the time and expense of establishing network connections and interconnection agreements with other individual VoIP providers. See VoIP peering.

plug and play This explanation comes from an Intel Technology Primer: Since addin cards first appeared over a decade ago, they've given users a lot of different ways to improve their PCs and given them a lot of installation headaches. In this brief, we'll tell you how Intel, together with industry leaders, has spent years developing Plug and Play technology to make add in cards both easier to use and install. Never before has the PC had as many capabilities as it does today. That's due in part to the large number of add-in cards available, like those for multimedia and faxmodems. Yet, as more cards are added to a PC, their installation can become quite complex. Installing a card can be a time-consuming and technical process, and there's no guarantee it will even work the first time. Sometimes the user must configure the card manually, which means selecting a variety of system resources for each card. These include Interrupt Requests (IRQ), I/O and memory addresses, and Direct Memory Access (DMA) channels. Every PC has a limited number of these resources available. Each card is designed to use a small group of them. Assigning these resources means opening the computer and physically setting the jumpers and DIP switches. And since no standard has been set to determine which cards can use which resources, numerous conflicts can arise between cards. Often, it's a process of trial and error to determine which resources aren't already being used by other cards. Since the ISA bus was introduced, several new bus architectures have followed to solve the resource allocation problem. For example, the MCA and the EISA bus standards both defined a mechanism where add in cards were configured somewhat automatically. These bus architectures allocated the resources, but the process wasn't always flexible and still required some manual intervention. And they still left the current ISA cards without a solution. Plug and Play technology, co-developed by Intel and other industry partners, consists of hardware and software components that card, PC, and operating system manufacturers incorporate into their products. With this technology, the user is responsible for simply inserting the card. Plug and Play makes the card capable of identifying itself and the resources it requires. The system's software automatically sets up a suitable configuration for the card. Newly developed PCI and Plug and Play ISA cards are all built to eliminate user intervention during the installation process. See plug and play **BIOS** extensions

plug and play BIOS extensions Software code added to a PC's bios which purports to automatically recognize which peripherals are in the PC and automatically configure the PC for those peripherals – without the need for fiddling with dip switches or setting interrupts, etc. Plug and Play comes from Intel. And more and more PC cards are coming Plug and Play compatible. See plug and play.

NEWTON'S TELECOM DICTIONARY

The Official Dictionary of Telecommunications Networking and Internet

STAY INFORMED

To be alerted by email to updates and corrections go to www.cmpbooks.com/newton

NEWTON'S TELECOM DICTIONARY

copyright © 2001 Harry Newton email: Harry_Newton@HarryNewton.com personal web site: www.HarryNewton.com business web site: www.TechnologyInvestor.com

All rights reserved under International and Pan-American Copyright conventions, including the right to reproduce this book or portions thereofin any form whatsoever.

Published by CMP Books An imprint of CMP Media Inc. Converging Communications Group 12 West 21 Street New York, NY 10010

For individual or quantity orders
CMP Books
6600 Silacci Way Gilroy, CA 95020
Tel: 1-800-500-6875 or 1-408-848-5296
Fax:408-848-5784
Email: cmp@rushorder.com
Web:www.cmpbooks.com
Distributed to the book trade in the U.S. and Canada by
Publishers Group West
1700 Fourth St., Berkeley, CA 94710
Phone: 510-528-1444
ISBN Number 1-57820-069-5

February 2001

Seventeenth Edition

Matt Kelsey, Publisher Christine Kern, Manager Ray Horak, Managing Editor Omar Mirabel, Cover Artist Danel Roldan, Inside Layout Artist

Manufactured in the United States of America Von Hoffmann Graphics Owensville, MO 65066 ments such as dipoles or slots, the vertical ent spacing in wavelengths.

he distortions in resolution, density, and hape and finite size of the scanning and

an made up of thin vertical wires. Said to

are that an application program uses to ied by the computer's or a telephone sys ilso helps applications manage windows, an API is a "hook" into software. An API data formats that application programs inframe communications programs, telenications. For example, applications use etwork. Standardization of APIs at varides a uniform way to write applications. pplications use APIs to call services that

ATM Application Program Interface ween an API_endpoint and other ATM

'I_endpoint and the other ATM devices

if time only once; the same set of comn after a prior connection is released ale to transfer data), or merely antici-

rocessing, it's a popular programming

s the PBX user with access to all the communications services (EPSCS) net nmon control switching arrangement

umber of ACD positions manned dur-

nally sponsored by Intel and Microsoft puters. The idea of the specification d the hardware to work together to provides built-in power management rating system passes calls and inforns. It also arbitrates power manageindows) and identifies power-saving tion software communicates power-95 adopted APM to shut down the rocessors — System Management machine at any time and manage rcumvented by other software. This s are now working on a new spec, inface. www.intel.com/IAL/power-

o formed to coordinate and promote is responsible for management and 1-Pacific, just as are ARIN and RIPE ee also ARIN, IP, and RIPE.

y used on the Texas Instruments npaq and Texas Instruments. APO 1cy, minimum host CPU utilization isures that the capabilities of the ler to the specific system in which

our horsemen of the Apocalypse

ant from the center of the gravi-

tational field of the Earth. The point in an orbit at which the satellite is closest to the Earth tanana new or the perigee. In commercial application, the terms have most significance with respect to LEOs (Low Earth Orbiting) and MEOs (Middle Earth Orbiting) satellite constellations, which travel in elliptical orbits. See LEO and MEO.

Apologize To lay the foundation for a future offense.

APON ATM Passive Optical Network. A passive (i.e., with no repeaters or other active electronics) optical network running ATM. APON is used in the local loop to connect terminal devices to an all optical network running the ATM protocol. See also ATM, Fiber Optics, PON, and SONET.

Apparent Power The mathematical product of the RMS current and the RMS volt

age. Identical to the VA rating

APPC Advanced Program-To-Program Communications. In SNA, the architectural component that allows sessions between peer-level application transaction programs. The LUs (Logical Units) that communicate during these sessions are known as LU type 6.2. APPC is an IBM protocol analogous to the OSI model's session layer: it sets up the necessary conditions that enable application programs to send data to each other through the network. APPC/PC An IBM product that implements APPC on a PC.

Appearance Usually refers to a private branch exchange line or extension which is on (i.e. "appears") on a multi-button key telephone. For example, extension 445 appears on three key systems.

Appearance Test Point The point at which a circuit may be measured by test

Append To add the contents of a list, or file, to those of another.

APPGEN A shortened form of the words APPlications GENerator.

Apple Computer, Inc. Cupertino, CA. Manufacturer of personal computers. Heavy penetration in the graphics/desktop publishing business and in education. Apple was formed on April Fool's Day, 1976, by Steve Wozniak and Steve Jobs, aided greatly by Mike Markkula.

Apple Desktop Bus The interface on a Mac where non-peripheral devices, such as the keyboard, attaches. A Mac keyboard or mouse is called an ADB device. Contrast with peripherals, which attach through the SCSI interface. See also USB, which is a new bus for use on PCs but fulfilling essentially the same function as the Apple Desktop Bus.

Apple Desktop Interface ADI. A set of user-interface guidelines, developed by Apple Computer and published by Addison-Wesley, intended to ensure that the appearance and operation of all Macintosh applications are similar.

Apple Menu The Apple icon in the upper left hand corner of the Apple Macintosh screen. The Apple menu contains aliases, control panels, the chooser and other desk accessories.

Apple Pie Both an American icon, and the name chosen for Apple Computer's Personal Interactive Electronics (PIE) division, chartered with extending the company into new growth areas such as Personal Digital Assistants (PDAs), e.g. the Apple Newton. The PIE division includes Apple Online Services, Newton and Telecommunications group, publishing activities, and ScriptX-based multimedia PDA development.

Apple Remote Access ARA is Apple Computer's dial-in client software for

Macintosh users allowing remote access to Apple and third party servers.

Apple URP Apple Update Routing Protocol. The network routing protocol developed by Apple for use with Appletalk.

AppleShare Apple Computer's local area network. It uses AppleTalk protocols. AppleShare is Apple system software that allows sharing of files and network services via a file server in the Apple Macintosh environment. See AppleTalk.

Applet Mini-programs that can be downloaded quickly and used by any computer equipped with a Java-capable browser. Applets carry their own software players. See Java. AppleTalk Apple Computer's proprietary networking protocol for linking Macintosh computers and peripherals, especially printers. This protocol is independent of what network it is layered on. Current implementations exist for LocalTalk (230.4 Kbps) and EtherTalk (10 Mbns).

AppleTalk Zone and Device Filtering Provides an additional level of security for AppleTalk networks. On AppleTalk networks, network managers can selective ly hide or show devices and/or zones to ARA clients. See ARA.

Application A software program that carries out some useful task. Database managers, spreadsheets, communications packages, graphics programs and word processors

Application Based Call Routing In addition to the traditional methods of routing and tracking calls by trunk and agent group, the latest Automatic Call Distributors route and track calls by application. An application is a type of call, for example, sales or service. Tracking calls in this manner allows accurately reported calls, especially when they are overflowed to different agent groups. See ACD.

Application Binary Interface ABI. The rules by which software code is written to operate specific computer hardware. Application software, written to conform to an ABI, is able to be run on a wide variety of system platforms that use the computer hardware for which the ABI is designed.

Application Bridge Aspect Telecommunications' ACD to host computer link. Originally it ran only over R2-232 serial connections, but it now runs over Ethernet, using the TCP/IP link protocol. See also Open Application Interface.

Application Class An SCSA term. A group of client applications that perform sim-

ilar services, such as voice messaging or fax-back services. **Application Entity** A cellular radio term. An Application Entity provides the service desired for communication. An Application Entity may exist in an M-ES (Mobile End System) (i.e., mobile application entity) or an F-ES (Fixed End System). An Application Entity is named with an application entity title.

Application Equipment Module AEM. A Northern Telecom term for a device within the Meridian 1 Universal Equipment Module that supports Meridian Link Modules. The Meridian Link Module (MLM) is an Application Module, specially configured to support the Meridian Link interface to host computers.

Application For Service A standard telephone company order form that includes pertinent billing, technical and other descriptive information which enables the company to provide communications network service to the customer and its authorized users.

Application Framework This usually means a class library with a fundamental base class for defining a complete program. The framework provides at least some of the facilities through which a program interfaces with the user, such as menus and windows, in a style that is internally consistent and abstracted from the specific environment for which it has been developed.

This is an explanation I received from Borland. I don't quite understand it, yet. An application framework is an object-oriented class library that integrates user-interface building blocks, fundamental data structures, and support for object-oriented input and output. It defines an application's standard user interface and behavior so that the programmer can concentrate on implementing the specifics of the application. An application framework allows developers to reuse the abstract design of an entire application by modeling each major component of an applications as an abstract class.

Application Gateway A firewall that applies security mechanisms to specific applications, such as FTP and Telnet servers. An application gateway is very effective but can impose a performance degradation.

Application Generator AG. A program to generate actual programming code. An applications generator will let you produce software quickly, but it will not allow you the flexibility had you programmed it from scratch. Voice processing "applications generators," despite the name, often do not generate programming code. Instead they are self-contained environments which allow a user to define and execute applications. They are more commonly called applications generator, since one generator can define and execute many applications. See Applications Generator for a longer explanation.

Application Layer The topmost, visible to the user, presentation of a communications network; the user interface point in network architectures. See Open Systems Interconnection — Reference Model.

Application Level Firewall A firewall system in which service is provided by processes that maintain complete TCP connection state and sequencing. Application level firewalls often re-address traffic so that outgoing traffic appears to have originated from the firewall, rather than the internal host.

Application Metering The process of counting the number of executions of the copies of an application in use on the network at any given time and ensuring that the number does not exceed preset limits. Application metering is usually performed by a network management application running on the file server. Most application metering software will allow only a certain number of copies (usually that number specified in the application software license) of an application to run at any one time and will send a message to any users who try to exceed this limit.

Application Module A Northern Telecom term for a computer that can be attached to a Northern Telecom phone system and add intelligence and programmability to the phone system. Often, the AM will be a computer conforming to open standards, such as DOS or Windows, or it may be VME-based.



Planthump / Plesiochronous

ond line is 212-206-6871 and so on. It is valuable to know the plant test numbers of your incoming WATS lines so you can test the local loop part of those lines. The local loop part is the part which typically gives the most problem. It is, unfortunately, the only part of your 800 lines you can test yourself — unless you ask someone (or several people) to call you regularly on your 800 lines, just to test them. You can get plant test numbers out of your local and/or your long distance carrier. When they tell you those numbers are "not available," beg a little. They are available and you are entitled to them. Calling plant test numbers costs exactly what a normal long distance IN-WATS call on that line costs. So keep your test calls short. You should call your plant test numbers once a day.

Planthump A colloquial word for a telephone company craftsperson. It derives from the term "plant," a telephone company word used to describe their "factory" — i.e. everything from their inside plant, their central office switch, to their outside plant, which includes wire strung on telephone poles. In British slang, "hump" means to exert oneself. Planthump is a term of endearment in the telephone industry. Definition courtesy, Steve Marcus, New York Telephone, now called Bell Atlantic. The term is often spelled as two words, i.e. plant hump.

PLAR Private Line, Automatic Ringdown. In telecommunications, leased voice circuit that connects two single instruments together. When either handset is lifted, the other instrument automatically rings.

Plasma An ionized gas with a mixture of positive and negative electrons. See PLASMA DISPLAY.

Plasma Display Type of flat visual display device in which selected electrodes, part of a grid of crisscross electrodes in a gas-filled panel, are energized, causing the gas to be ionized and light to be emitted. Some computers use plasma displays. They're fabulous, and quite expensive. See Plasma Display Panels.

Plasma Display Panels PDPs. Plasma gases composed of helium, neon and xenon are sandwiched into cells between two vertical glass plates. Bursts of electricity are applied between transparent electrodes attached to one pane of glass. These bursts causes the plasma gases to emit ultraviolet roys. This activates red, blue and green phosphor dots, which emit visible light and form pictures on the screen. The more common cathode ray tube technology (e.g. computer monitors) uses an electron gun to direct a beam that lights up phosphors on a screen. Directing that beam requires CRT sets to be deep, heavy and unwieldy.

Plasmatron The name Sony chose for a flat screen display it demoed at Comdex in the fall of 1995. The screen measured 20 inches diagonally. It was as bright as normal CRT screen, but was less than four inches wide. It is the beginning of flat screen entertainment screens for the home. It was spectacular.

Plaster Ring A metal or plastic plate that attaches to wallboard for the purpose of mounting a telecommunications outlet box.

Plastic Fiber Optics See Plastic Optic Fiber.

Plastic Optic Fiber POF. A fiber optic transmission medium made from plastic, rather than glass. Glass clearly (double entendre intended) performs better than plastic, as it offers less attenuation and, therefore, better transmission quality at higher speeds and over longer distances. Plastic, however, is less expensive and less susceptible to breakage. POF uses low-quality light sources and carry data at speeds greater than 10 Mbps over distances up to 100 meters. The ATM Forum is developing specifications for 50- and 155-Mbps transmission over POF. POF is evolving as a replacement for twisted-pair copper wire. see Plastic Fiber Optics.

Plasticizer A chemical agent added in compounding plastics to make them softer and more flexible

Plat 1. An imaging term. When a CAD/CAM plotter prints a large drawing, it's called a plat.

2. A map or plan of a small piece of ground showing boundaries, area, remainder, ownership, access, and other pertinent information.

Plate The anode in a vacuum tube, which collects the electrons emitted by the filament. **Plate Battery** The source of E.M.F. connected in the plate circuit to give the plate element its positive charge.

Plate Voltage The potential applied to the plate of the vacuum tube by the plate voltage supply.

Platen A cylinder in a printer or typewriter around which the paper goes and which the printing mechanism strikes to produce an impression.

Platform A loosely-defined word for a software operating system and/or open hardware, which an outsider could write software for. Windows98 is a platform. So is Windows 2000. If every phone system were a platform, then every owner of that phone system

could write software for his phone system or buy outside-produced software and have his phone system work more to his liking. That's the objective of creating a "platform." See also OAI and Platform Independence.

Platform Independence A term from IBM and Metaphor Computer Systems. The idea, they say, is to produce a layer of software that would rest atop any operating system on any piece of hardware. The applications developers would write their software just once, rather than start from scratch each time they wanted get their software working on a different computer. If the whole idea sounds rather daunting, you're right.

Platter The round magnetic disk surfaces used for read/write operations in a hard disk

Play Off In voice processing, in response to questions such as "Press one for Harry," the user touchtones buttons on his phone. Those buttons generate DTMF (Dual Tone Multi-Frequency) tones. The system has to figure out what the person "said" with his touchtones. The tricky part of DTMF detection is distinguishing between tones generated from an actual "key press" and "tones" caused by speech. Mistaking a person's speech (as in leaving a message) for DTMF is called "talk-off." Mistaking a person's recorded speech (as in playing back a message) for DTMF is called "play-off."

You can imagine the havoc poor DTMF detection can cause a voice processing system. For example, if touchtoning three means "delete this message" and while playing the message, the system incorrectly detects a portion of the message playback as the touchtones for a key press three, I'll delete the message when I had intended to listen to it. On the other hand, if I'm listening to a message and want to delete it prior to finishing the message, I want the system to detect my key press three as the real thing and go ahead and

delete the message.

Playback Retrieval, decoding and transmission of encoded data. It is also a multimedia term. Playback is the process of viewing multimedia materials created by an author. Playback can include a range of activities, from viewing a single video clip to participating in a series of interactive multimedia training modules. Some playback applications (for example many training and presentation applications) are sold separately from their authoring applications. However, many developers are selling authoring and playback capabilities in a single product

Playback Head The part which converts the magnetic information on the tape or disk into an electrical signal. Moving the magnetic fields on the medium (tape or disk) past the playback head generates a tiny voltage, which is picked up in a conductor (a coil) in the payback head and sent onto the electronic equipment where it is amplified or transmitted.

Player An SCSA definition. A resource object that plays TVM data. The audio data can come from a voice or audio encoded file, or from text that has passed through a text-to-speech service. The output of a player can be analog audio, TDD, ADSI, etc.

PLCP Physical Layer Convergence Protocol. The port of the physical layer that adapts the transmission facility to handle DQDB functions as defined in IEEE 802.6-1990. It is used for DS-3 transmission of ATM. ATM cells are encapsulated in a 125microsecond frame defined by the PLCP which is defined inside the DS3 M-frame.

PLD Programmable logic device.

Plenum In some modern buildings, the ducts carrying the heat return are not metal ducts but actually are part of the ceiling. This is called a plenum ceiling. Most cities now have rules and regulations which say that if you run cabling through these plenum ceilings, you must not use cabling sheathed in PVC (polyvinyl chloride), the standard jacketing of most electrical cable. The reason is that PVC burns and emits toxic smoke ferociously. Plenum cable is low smoking so that if it catches fire it won't circulate toxic smoke through the vent system and suffocate everyone. Plenum cabling is often made of teflon. It's much more expensive than normal cabling. See also FEP.

Plenum Area The space between the drop ceiling and the floor above. Continuous throughout the length and width of each commercial building floor.

Plenum Cable Cable specifically designed for use in a plenum (the space above a suspended ceiling used to circulate air back to the heating or cooling system in a building). Plenum cable has insulated conductors often jacketed with polyvinylidene diflouride (PVDF) material to give them low flame spread and low smoke-producing properties. Burn a normal PVC cable and it will give off a vile, toxic smoke that can kill. Many buildings and many cities stipulate that only plenum cable can be installed in the plenum in the ceilings. Plenum cable has fully color coded insulated copper conductors and is available in various pair sizes.

Plesiochronous Plesiochronous, based on Greek and Latin roots, roughly translates as "more together in time." Plesiochronous networks involve multiple digital synchronous circuits running at different clock rates. For instance, a NYNEX T-1 circuit may meet a MCI

7-1 circuit, with each takin multiple MCI T-1 circuits m 1-3 running at different clobe resolved through the us relies on a highly reliable a siochronous. Compare to ! Plesiochronous N than one primary reference between nodes. PLL Phase Locked Loop: is transferred within a dah lacking its local clock sour PLLC Professional Limite

lished by a provider to fac ment, operations, and stal **Plotter** A type of con graphics form. **PLS** Premises Lightwave **PLSC** Private Line Servic

PLMN Public Land Mobi

PLSC Private Line Servic PLTS Private Line Transp tomer location to another. Carrier.

Plug A male element of it provides the means f Communications Outlet a: Interface Jack when troub
Plug 'N Play 1. Mc "Why it's just plug 'n play is plug 'n play. It's a fant 2. Also defined as a nev great. He's 100% plug-an Plug And Play Thi in cards first appeared ov improve their PCs and give how Intel, together with i nology to make add-in car many capabilities as it do available, like those for m their installation can become technical process, and the user must configure the co for each card. These incli Direct Memory Access (Di available. Each card is de means opening the comp since no standard has bee ous conflicts can arise be which resources aren't al duced, several new bus a lem. For example, the M add-in cards were config the resources, but the pro vention. And they still lef ogy, co-developed by Inte components that card, PC ucts. With this technolog Play makes the card capa software automatically so and Plug and Play ISA cc tion process. See Plug ar Plug And Play B

purports to automatically